Introduction to Area Designation and State Implementation Plan (SIP)



Madhusudhan Venugopal Reza Farzaneh **Texas A&M Transportation Institute**

Jamie Zech Chris Kite **Texas Commission on Environmental Quality**

March 24, 2021 10:30 am – 12:00 pm MS TEAMS Webinar



What Is Air Pollution?

A mixture of solid particles and gases in the air

It occurs when the air contains **harmful amounts** of gases, dust, fumes and odor





Air Quality & Public Health

Approximately 200,000 early deaths per year in the U.S. approximately **53,000** attributed to contributions of road transportation emissions *



* Source: Caiazzo, Fabio, et al. "Air pollution and early deaths in the United States. Part I: Quantifying the impact of major sectors in 2005." Atmospheric Environment 79 (2013): 198-208.



Good Ozone, Not So Good Ozone





Particulate Matter (PM)





How Area Designation Works?





the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)





Area Designation Process

EPA sets New or Revised NAAQS

EPA makes Final Designations

• 120-day notice to the state if designations differ from recommendations

State submits State Designation Recommendations

- Attainment
- Nonattainment
- Unclassifiable







State makes **area designation recommendations** (i.e. state designation recommendation) based on

Monitoring Data

- Ground-Level Ozone:

3-year average of 4th highest of <u>8-hour daily maximums</u>

Particulate Matter (PM) PM10*:

3-year average of Number of <u>24-hour average exceedance</u>

PM2.5** (fine PM):

3-year average of 98th percentile of <u>24-hour averages</u>



* PM with diameter less than or equal to 10 micrometers

** PM with diameter less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers



An area is designated as **Non-Attainment** if

there is a **violation of NAAQS** in the area

or

The area is found to **contribute to a violation** in a **nearby area**







Ideal Life Cycle of a Non-Attainment Area





Attainment Date

13

What is SIP and How Does It Work?



What is a SIP?



State's Air Quality Plan to meet the NAAQS

Covers All areas of the state



Federal requirement and enforceable



Prepared and updated by state's air quality agency



What is the **Purpose of the SIP?**



- Monitor air quality, and
- Determine and enforce compliance with NAAQS
- Meet consultation process requirements
- Develop **processes** to **allow for attainment** and maintenance of NAAQS



Establishes

- Control strategies
- Target dates for reducing emissions
- Stationary source **permitting** program



For **non-attainment** and **maintenance** areas:

- Sets limits/budget for criteria pollutants from On-Road Transportation Sources
- Demonstrate compliance with Clean Air Act (CAA) and progress toward attainment







One SIP

for each state

parts of the SIP are simply **Revised As Needed**



Texas' SIP was initially approved in May 1972











How the SIP Process works

CAA or Court Case mandates plan submittal OR State decides to revise its own SIP

State prepares and adopts plan after public hearing

State submits SIP to EPA regional office

EPA reviews SIP for completeness. If complete, propose in Federal Register

EPA approves/disapproves plan after considering public comments

After SIP approval, the plan becomes **Federally Enforceable**



Texas SIP

- Section I: Introduction
- Section II: Regional Classification
- Section III: Public Participation/Intergovernmental Coordination
- Section IV: Preliminary Review
- Section V: Legal Authority
- Section VI: Control Strategy
- Section VII: Compliance Schedule
- Section VIII: Texas Air Pollution Emergency Episode Contingency Plan
- Section IX: Air Quality Surveillance Plan
- Section X: Review of New Sources and Modifications
- Section XI: Source Surveillance
- Section XII: Resources
- Section XIII: Intergovernmental Cooperation (Federal Register Vol. 48 No. 63 13427 - March 31, 1983)
- Section XIV: TCEQ Adopted Rules and Regulations



Types of SIP **Revisions**

Attainment Demonstrations and Reasonable Further Progress

Redesignation Requests and **Maintenance Plans**

Infrastructure and Transport Plans

Regional Haze Plans



Infrastructure Plan

Does Texas have the <u>means and authority</u> to implement the SIP?

Transport Plan:

Does Texas significantly contribute to non-attainment or interfere with maintenance in <u>another state</u>?



SIP Revision Development – Texas Style

Formal Commission Action by TCEQ

- Publication of the proposal
- Public meetings, hearings, review of public comments

Ø

- Adoption by TCEQ's commissioners
- Takes about 18 months
- Once SIP revision and associated rules adopted by commission: legally binding and enforceable under state law

Initial Research

- Data collected and modeled
- Control strategies proposed and tested

- Revision drafted
- Typically requires 1-4 years

Submitted to EPA for Review and Approval

- Once approved by EPA, SIP revision and
 - rules become Federally Enforceable



Triggers of SIP Revision

New Laws or Rules are Enacted

Final enactment of a NAAQS triggers a SIP revision

An Area's Designation is Changed

Non-attainment and **maintenance** designations trigger SIP revision requirements



Non-Attainment Area Reclassification

Reclassification is the most common reason for SIP revision

New Data or Model Becomes Available

New data or emissions model **may trigger** a SIP revision

SIP Requirements for Ozone Non-Attainment Areas

| MARGINAL (3 Years) Carissions Inventory Emissions Statements Non-attainment New Source Review Program & Emissions Offsets | <section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header> | SERIOUS (9 Years) Enhanced Monitoring and I/M Modeled Attainment Demonstration 3% per Year VOC Reductions After 6 Years Reasonable Further | SEVERE (15-17 Years) VMT Growth Offset Major Source Fees for | <section-header><section-header><text></text></section-header></section-header> |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Fixups Inspection and Maintenance (I/M) Corrections Conformity | Demonstration Contingency Measures RFP - 15% Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Reductions within 6 years | Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) Milestone Contingency Measures Clean Fuels Program Vehicle-Mile-Traveled (VMT) Demonstration | Failure to Attain (185 Fees) | Traffic Controls During Congestion |



Key Elements & Concepts



RFP: Reasonable Further Progress

Annual incremental reduction in emissions may reasonably be required

To ensure that the amount of the needed reduction (i.e. attainment of NAAQS) will be achieved by **Attainment Date**

AD Plan: Attainment Demonstration Plan

How and When **a non-attainment** area will **achieve attainment** of a standard

required for most areas that have been **designated non-attainment**

Maintenance Plan

How a **former non-attainment** area will **maintain attainment** of a standard

required for areas that have been designated as attainment maintenance

Reasonable Further Progress SIP Revision

Describes how annual incremental emissions reductions requirements will be met in a non-attainment area

Components of Reasonable Further Progress

- Emission Inventory
- Control Strategies
- RFP Demonstration
- Motor Vehicle Emission Budget (MVEB)



Attainment Demonstration SIP Revision

Describes in detail the strategies and emissions control measures that show **how a non-attainment area will improve air quality** and meet the NAAQS by the attainment date

Components of Attainment Demonstration

- MVEB
- Monitoring data
- Emissions Inventory
- Photochemical Modeling
- Control Strategy



Emissions Inventory:

Amount of each pollutant emitted in an area by type of emissions sources

Types of process and control devices employed at each plant or source category

Types of Emissions Sources



- On-Road Mobile Sources
- Non-Road Mobile Sources
- Stationary Point Sources

- Area Sources

Photochemical Air Quality Modeling

Computer Tool

To estimate **concentrations** of pollutants in the air

Baseline/Historical

To evaluate performance of the model for an area

Future Years

To **evaluate effectiveness** of control strategies in reaching attainment



Real World Situation



Computer Grid Simulation



Development of Control Strategies 0

Analysis to determine **type** and **need** for emissions reductions to attain the NAAQS

State, local, and federal strategies are considered



Rules are adopted and incorporated into the SIP as needed

If area **fails to attain** by attainment date **contingency measures** included in the SIP to be implemented



How **Transportation** Fits into ...

State Implementation Plan - - -**Mobile Sources Point Sources Point Sources Emissions Budget Regulations** Permitting

for Motor Vehicles

Regulations On Products and Materials



Motor Vehicle Emission Budget (MVEB)



Not-to-exceed limit for

pollutant emissions from **on-road** vehicles in the SIP

Set Through



- Attainment Demonstration
- Maintenance Plan
- Reasonable Further Progress



Area-specific

Only for pollutant(s) that the area is in **non-attainment** or **maintenance**

Used for **Transportation Conformity**



The mechanism to ensure that transportation planning activities conform to the SIP







SIP Failures

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Disapproval with a Protective Finding

Disapproval without a Protective Finding

Failure to submit

Incompleteness

|<u>-</u>--



What is a Protective Finding?

Finding by EPA that SIP identifies control measures to achieve 15% ROP, or attainment for MVEBs

- SIP provides adopted control measures or written commitments for enforceable control measures
- SIP revision submitted



SIP Failures

If SIP is disapproved with a protective finding

᠇ᡬ

- Budgets can still be used if found adequate for conformity purposes
- Lapse may still occur

Disapproval with a Protective Finding

- Lapse begins when highway sanctions are imposed
- Effective 2 years after EPA disapproval



SIP Failures

Disapproval without a Protective Finding

Consequences of SIP Disapproval without a Protective Finding

 A SIP disapproval without a protective finding results in a conformity freeze on the effective date of EPA's final disapproval



Conformity Freeze

- Only projects in the first 4 years of the currently conforming plan and TIP can proceed
- No new plan or TIP conformity can be made
- Conformity lapse grace applies if plan/TIP expires during conformity freeze
- Conformity lapse occurs at (whichever comes first)
 - end of lapse grace period
 - When highway sanctions apply



Impacts on Project during Conformity Freeze

All new transportation plans/TIPs or projects are affected

- Projects that are included in the first four years of the transportation plan/TIP may go forward including all subsequent phases if included in the plan/TIP conformity analysis and meet project-level criteria
- No new plans, TIPs, or plan / TIP amendments

Exempt projects can proceed at any time as long as all planning requirements are met





Consequences of Failure to Submit and Incompleteness findings

EPA determines that the State has failed to submit a control strategy, SIP, or the submission is incomplete

- Starts CAA sanction process
- Conformity on plan/TIP lapses on the date that highway sanctions are imposed



SIP Failures

Sanctions

Sanctions may occur due to SIP deficiencies

- non-submittal,
- incompleteness,
- lack of implementation, or
- disapprovals

Sanctions are not imposed for maintenance plan failures

- Once an area is officially notified, the sanction's clock is triggered
- Eighteen months later offset sanction on stationary sources imposed
- Six months after offset sanctions, highway sanctions will be imposed



Federal Implementation Plans (FIPs)

If EPA promulgates a FIP that contains motor vehicle emissions budget(s) as a result of a SIP failure, the conformity lapse imposed because of that failure is removed





Additional Resources

- EPA Basic Information about Air Quality SIPs https://www.epa.gov/sips/basic-information-air-quality-sips
- FHWA Transportation Conformity Reference Guide https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/pressroom/fhwa0047.cfm
- TCEQ Texas State Implementation Plan
 <u>https://www.tceq.texas.gov/airquality/sip</u>



Contributors

- Jamie Zech, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
- Chris Kite, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
- Mary McGarry-Barber, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
- Bill Knowles, Texas Department of Transportation (Previously)
- Laura Norton, Texas Department of Transportation
- Janie Temple, Texas Department of Transportation
- Marty Boardman, Texas A&M Transportation Institute
- Dennis Perkinson, Texas A&M Transportation Institute





Questions and Comments



Contacts

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

• Jamie Zech – jamie.zech@tceq.texas.gov, 512-239-3935

Chris Kite – chris.kite@tceq.texas.gov, 512-239-1959

Texas A&M Transportation Institute

- Reza Farzaneh, Ph.D., P.E. r-farzaneh@tti.tamu.edu, 512-407-1118
- Madhu Venugopal, P.E. m-venugopal@tti.tamu.edu, 972-994-2213

